PRECAUTIONARY BULLETIN NO. 1 1 JULY 1950

AUDITOR'S CODE – BREAKING OF

Two cases where the Auditor's Code had been broken were reported to the Foundation. Both cases were thoroughly observed in an effort to discover if any permanent harm had occurred.

The first case had been brought up toward Release before the code was broken. Then the auditor – the husband – began to question the validity of the data the preclear – his wife – was giving him. He thus invalidated her recalls. The case was immediately occluded. Sonic recall, which had been excellent, turned off. The psychosomatic illness of the patient returned.

Twenty hours of auditing at the Foundation were necessary to restore the case to a point where it could continue toward a Release.

Conclusion: Remarks which tend to invalidate the data of the preclear, to pronounce a delusion even material which is the product of delusion, is to interrupt or retard seriously a case.

The second case was similar to the first. The auditor labeled as imaginary several of the incidents the preclear had related. Thus challenged – still in reverie – the preclear became angry. Without bringing him to present time, the auditor then began to justify his remarks. The preclear was unable to return to present time. The case became thoroughly occluded and is currently being worked out. The length of time in therapy has been considerably lengthened. Illness resulted.

Conclusion: If the auditor has broken the code, he must never attempt to justify that break but should immediately apologize and erase the moment when the code was broken without returning the preclear to present time to argue about it. **Never challenge a preclear's data**. In both of these cases objective research validated the recalled data of the preclear entirely. In both these cases the auditor's challenge was not only technically but factually in error. Even when the preclear is giving out garbage, the auditor must not challenge him. In such a case it is the duty of the auditor to discover the reason for the garbage without labeling the material for what it is.

Additional Data: Some reports have been received that psychiatrists and psychoanalysts have challenged patients in Dianetic therapy. The curve of increasing insanity in the world closely follows, from 1911 forward, the introduction into mental "technology," the aberrated conclusion that insanity is caused by the delusions of childhood. This remarkable tenet, as set forth by Freud, whose researches fell short of achieving the goal he tried to reach (prenatals), exists now as a dangerous social delusion. As a delusion about a delusion it has done and can do much harm to the sanity of our culture. Psychoanalysts and psychiatrists who challenge the recalls of their patients and label them "childhood delusions" are morally guilty of an effort, knowing or otherwise, to undermine the sanity of their patients. It is the function

of the mind that it must be right. The mind has a right to be right. Forcing the mind to "accept" that what it knows to be right is "actually wrong" is to unstabilize that mind.

L. RON HUBBARD